UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,863	01/29/2004	Jose L. Martinez	245597US-30	2634
22850 7590 11/05/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			SMALLEY, JAMES N	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3781		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/05/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/765,863	MARTINEZ, JOSE L.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	James N. Smalley	3781			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period way reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 At</u> 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	•			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-27 is/are withdrawr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 28-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	from consideration.				
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/765,863 Page 2

Art Unit: 3781

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Newly amended claims 1-12 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: The amendments to limit the material layer as being the outermost layer is a different embodiment than the previously claimed invention.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits.

Accordingly, claims 1-12 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention.

See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Furthermore, Examiner notes this embodiment is not even supported by the Specification.

Contrary to Applicant's assertions that the embodiment "is inferentially supported throughout the [S]pecification based on the function of the non-wetting material layer, and particularly by Figs. 1 and 2.", Examiner notes there is in fact zero support for this embodiment. The Brief Description of the Invention only describes applying the non-wetting material to the inner surface; in the Detailed Description of the Invention, the non-wetting primer material 22 is only shown to be disposed on the inner surfaces of Figures 1 and 2.

2. This application contains claims 13-27 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the reply filed 22 December 2006. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 28-31 are is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over in view of Garza et al. US 3,910,445 in view of Kunimoto et al. US 4,310,100.

Garza '445 teaches a linerless metallic crown cap to be applied to the open end of a bottle. The reference fails to teach a non-wetting layer.

Kunimoto '100 teaches applying an epoxy resin layer (4) to the inner surface of a crown cap or rolled cap in order to prevent corrosion. Examiner notes Applicant's own Specification paragraph [0020] which states non-PVC laquers can be used if they enclude expoxy-pehnolic resin blends. Examiner notes Kunimoto '100 column 5 lines 46-50 teach epoxy resins and penolic resins can be used in a blend.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the crown cap of Garza '445, providing the non-wetting layer of Kunimoto '100, motivated by thte benefit of preventing rusting and corrosion of the crown cap. Furthermore, Examiner notes It has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Lastly, because it is the Examiner's position that in view of the Applicant's disclosure combined with this passage, that Kunimoto '100 will inherently perform as well as the instant invention in preventing wetting of the cap due to the noted chemical properties of epoxy-phenol blends by Applicant. It is the Examiner's position that in view of the Applicant's disclosure combined with this passage, that Kunimoto '100 will inherently perform as well as the instant invention in preventing wetting of the cap due to the noted chemical properties of epoxy-phenol blends by Applicant.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 13 August 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues it is the layer (2) and not the epoxy layer (4) which is responsible for the rust-prevention.

Art Unit: 3781

Examiner notes Applicant's own Specification paragraph [0020] which states non-PVC laquers can be used if they enclude expoxy-pehnolic resin blends. Examiner notes Kunimoto '100 column 5 lines 46-50 teach epoxy resins and penolic resins can be used in a blend. It is the Examiner's position that in view of the Applicant's disclosure combined with this passage, that Kunimoto '100 will inherently perform as well as the instant invention in preventing wetting of the cap due to the noted chemical properties of epoxy-phenol blends by Applicant.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James N. Smalley whose telephone number is (571) 272-4547. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10 am - 7 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on (571) 272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,863

Art Unit: 3781

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ins

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700